

Component	Dopamine Hypothesis	Neurodevelopmental Model	Diathesis-Stress Model	Predictive Coding Model	Immune/Inflammatory Model	Sensitivity Threshold Model (STM)
Positive Symptoms (e.g., hallucinations, delusions)	Moderate — Attributed to dopamine hyperactivity in mesolimbic pathway. Explains treatment effects of D2 blockers but not full phenomenology.	Weak — Views symptoms as consequences of abnormal development but lacks direct mechanism for psychosis onset.	Moderate — Attributes symptoms to stress in vulnerable individuals, but lacks specificity.	Strong — Explained as misweighting of sensory priors (prediction errors), leading to false inferences.	Moderate — Links cytokine activity to symptom severity; lacks mechanistic clarity.	Strong — Positive symptoms emerge from system overload and faulty processing in sensitive systems; aligns with IT analogies and empirical cases (e.g., torture-induced hallucinations).
Negative Symptoms (e.g., apathy, flat affect)	Weak — Poorly explained. Dopamine hypothesis focuses on positive symptoms.	Moderate — Attributed to prefrontal cortical deficits; doesn't explain variability or treatment resistance.	Weak — Stress model lacks mechanism to link to negative symptoms.	Moderate — Underexplored; possible result of precision misestimation or low priors.	Weak — Inflammation may play a role but causality unclear.	Strong — Seen as adaptive downregulation post-overload; fits with chronic stress-induced neuronal exhaustion and supports symptom fluctuation.
Cognitive Impairments	Weak — Not explained directly.	Strong — Developmental deficits align with early cognitive delays.	Moderate — Stress impact on cognition recognized but vague.	Strong — Seen as disrupted signal integration; matches task-based evidence.	Weak — Cognitive deficits often secondary, not causally explained.	Strong — Framed as primary consequence of processing overload; IT analogies (buffer overflow, bandwidth limits) are compelling.
Onset Timing (adolescence/early adulthood)	Weak — No specific developmental account.	Strong — Tied to brain maturation and synaptic pruning.	Moderate — Fits idea that stress increases with life complexity.	Moderate — Developmental encoding of priors may explain it, but under-theorized.	Moderate — Infections and inflammation in youth linked; timing unclear.	Strong — High sensitivity + increasing life load during development = threshold breach; explains delayed onset and progressive nature.
Environmental Influence	Very weak — Largely ignored.	Moderate — Prenatal exposures and early life stress incorporated.	Strong — Central to theory, though non-specific.	Moderate — Includes sensory unpredictability and noise as factors.	Strong — Pathogen exposure, diet, pollution incorporated.	Very strong — Overload by toxins, urban stress, noise; directly modeled as stress-load inputs.
Individual Variability / Sensitivity	Very weak — One-size-fits-all model.	Moderate — Acknowledges risk strata.	Moderate — Emphasizes “vulnerability,” but unspecific.	Strong — Priors vary between individuals; accounts for idiosyncrasies.	Weak — Genetic variation in immune genes included, but not phenotypically refined.	Very strong — Individual sensitivity is core; integrates HSP theory and differential susceptibility.
Mechanism Clarity	Strong but narrow — Dopamine pathways well studied, limited scope.	Moderate — Mechanisms plausible but heterogeneous.	Weak — Vague on causal pathways.	Strong but complex — Predictive error models rich but hard to apply clinically.	Moderate — Cytokine and microglial pathways studied, causal chain unclear.	Strong and holistic — Cognitive overload from multisource stress > HPA/cortical dysregulation > psychosis. Elegant but needs empirical testing.
Pharmacological Fit	Strong — Antipsychotics work via D2 blockade.	Weak — No direct drug targets.	Weak — Not predictive of medication response.	Weak to moderate — Some relevance to NMDA/glutamate interventions.	Moderate — Anti-inflammatory agents under investigation.	Strong — Drugs reduce neuronal load (sensitivity), matching STM predictions; explains both effect and side effects.
Empirical Support	High for mechanism, low for etiology	Strong for risk markers, weak for full model	Widely accepted, weakly specified	Growing evidence in imaging and modeling	Moderate support in immune biomarkers	**Low formal validation, but observational and conceptual support increasing.
Unexplained Areas	Why dopamine fluctuates; individual variability.	Symptom heterogeneity; resilience.	Why thresholds vary; exact biological stress interfaces.	How priors form and change; subjective experience.	Why symptoms cluster; low specificity.	Needs clearer operational definitions, predictive coding integration, and large-scale testing.